### COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Independent Auditors' Reports Pursuant to Governmental Auditing Standards and The Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	1
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7



Melanson Heath & Company, pc Certified Public Accountants MANAGEMENT ADVISORS

### Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

#### Independent Auditors' Report

To the Board of Commissioners County of Rockingham, New Hampshire

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Rockingham, New Hampshire, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 12, 2013.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Nashua, NH 03063

Manchester, NH 03101

102 Perimeter Road • 149 Hanover Street • 10 New England Business Center Drive, Suite 107 • 51 Davis Street, Suite 1 • Andover, MA 01810 800-282-2440 • www.melansonheath.com

Greenfield, MA 01301

P.O. Box 646 Ellsworth, ME 04605 Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Welanson, Heath + Company P.C.

Nashua, New Hampshire September 12, 2013



Melanson Heath & Company, pc Certified Public Accountants MANAGEMENT ADVISORS

### Report on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

#### Independent Auditors' Report

To the Board of Commissioners County of Rockingham, New Hampshire

# Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the County of Rockingham, New Hampshire's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirement of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

# Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the

Nashua, NH 03063

Manchester, NH 03101

102 Perimeter Road • 149 Hanover Street • 10 New England Business Center Drive, Suite 107 • 51 Davis Street, Suite 1 • Andover, MA 01810 800-282-2440 • www.melansonheath.com

Greenfield, MA 01301

P.O. Box 646 Ellsworth, ME 04605 County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Rockingham, New Hampshire as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated September 12, 2013, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Melanson, Heath + Company P.C.

Nashua, New Hampshire September 12, 2013

#### County of Rockingham, New Hampshire

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ <u>Program Title</u> <u>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>	Federal CFDA <u>Number</u>		<u>E</u> 2	Federal cpenditures
Office of Community Planning and Development Passed through the State of New Hampshire Community Development Block Grant's/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228	09-408-CDED	\$	8,600
Community Development Block Grant's/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228	11-408-CDHS	_	489,312
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				497,912
<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u> Passed through the State of New Hampshire Recovery Act - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program/ Grants to Units of Local Government	16.804	2009-SB-B9-2449		56,522
Bureau of Justice Assistance Passed through the State of New Hampshire				
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2010-DJ-BX-0097		56,624
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2009-DH0BX-1129		33,399
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2011-DJ-BX-3194		31,844
Violence Against Women Office				
Passed through the State of New Hampshire				
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	2011VW11		20,881
Total U.S. Department of Justice				199,270
U.S. Department of Transportation <u>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration</u> Passed through the State of New Hampshire				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	315-12A-039		1,609
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	315-12A-138		5,415
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	315-13A-046		1,774
Alcohol Impaired Driving Countermeasures Incentive Grants I	20.601	308-12A-115		2,211
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				11,009
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	NA		77,240
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security				77,240
Total Federal Expenditures			\$	785,431
			<b>*</b> —	,

This schedule was prepared on a modified accrual basis of accounting.

See accompanying report on requirements of OMB Circular A-133.

#### COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

#### **SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS**

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

#### **Financial Statements** Unmodified Type of auditors' report issued: Internal control over financial reporting: Material weaknesses identified? \_\_\_\_yes \_∕\_no Significant deficiencies identified? \_\_\_\_yes \_✓ none reported • Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? \_\_\_ yes \_∕\_ no Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: \_\_\_yes \_✓\_no Material weaknesses identified? \_\_\_\_yes <u>✓</u> none reported Significant deficiencies identified? • Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: **Community Development Block Grant** Unmodified Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133? \_\_\_\_yes \_∕\_no Identification of major programs: CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program or Cluster 14.228 Community Development Block Grant Program Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$ 300,000

\_\_\_\_yes \_∕\_no

### **SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

None.

# SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None.

# SECTION IV - SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS

There were no findings in the prior year.